

# NDP Document Changelog

NDP Version 1.0.9 (from 1.0.7)

This document logs the changes made in v1.0.9 of the Neighbourhood Development Plan as compared to v1.0.7 of the plan.

Page	Section	Para.	Change	Status
0	0	0	Version and date updated	Change
i	Ambitions	8	Deleted “a” from “Delivering a low carbon ...” (RH – 23/11/21)	Change
ii	Flood Risk	3	Changed to “the Southern part of F51B” (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
lii	Foreword	2	Removed reference to new squadron at RAF Fairford (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
2	1	1.3	Added additional text suggested by CDC (from “Response Table”)	Change
3	1	1.13	Changed to “Plan Process” (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
4	1	1.13	Updated current stage to “Plan Revisions”	Change
7	2	2.3	Removed “trunk” from “A417 trunk road” (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
7	2	2.3	Various typo corrections	Typo
7	2	2.5	Last bullet point changed “serious” to “harmful” (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
8	2	2.8	Added “... bottleneck...” wording (AD, RH – 19/10/21)	Change
8	2	2.11	Added “... rural economy ...” wording (AD, RH – 19/10/21)	Change
9	2	2.15	Added text “... to schools and town-centre businesses,” (AD, RH – 26/11/21)	Change
9	2	2.16	Updated paragraph with latest text (SB – 21/11/21)	Change
14	2	2.42	Grammar correction	Grammar
15	2	2.43	Typo correction – added missing “by” (SB – 21/11/21)	Typo
15	2	2.47	Grammar and typo corrections	Grammar
17	2	2.59-60	Updates to reflect changed plans at RAF Fairford (MB – 23/11/21)	Change
18	2	2.62	Changed “defines” to “identifies” (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
18	2	2.64	Corrected heading formatting (RH – 19/10/21)	Formatting

<b>18</b>	2	2.64	Typo correction around “Tudor” (AD)	Grammar
<b>18</b>	2	2.64	Changed “commerce-sourced” to “commerce-funded” (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>19</b>	2	2.67	Added “local” before “Character and Design” (MB – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>19</b>	2	2.67	Added “has been prepared and” after previous change (MB – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>19</b>	2	2.69	Typo correction – hanged “Which” to “which” (SB – 21/11/21)	Typo
<b>19</b>	2	2.70	Changed “extremely limited.” to “... private vehicles” (MB, AD – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>21</b>	3	3.2	Added 2021 to list of NPPF revision years (RH – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>21</b>	3	3.2	Last sentence changed to note NPPG and NDC (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>22</b>	3	3.7	Added new explanatory text at end of bullet 1 (from “Response Table”)	Change
<b>24</b>	3	3.9	Added note on withdrawal of F35B at end of paragraph (RH/MB, AD – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>35</b>	FNP1	P1.1	Inserted “the” after “... redefines” (RH, AD – 26/11/21)	Grammar
<b>35</b>	FNP1	P1.4	Replaced “are not” with “should not” (RH/MB – 23/11/21)	Change
<b>37</b>	FNP2	P2.1	Bullet 2 – Added new text at end of paragraph	Change
<b>40</b>	FNP3	P3.5	Updated wording as per library service suggestions (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
<b>44</b>	FNP4	P4.4	Changed “safe-guarding” to “safeguarding” (RH – 23/11/21)	Grammar
<b>48-50</b>	FNP5	P5.9 – 5.22	Changed heading size to clarify they belong below “... Feedback” (RH, AD – 23/11/21)	Formatting
<b>48</b>	FNP5	P5.10	Added “policy” before INF8 (RH – 23/11/21)	Grammar
<b>49</b>	FNP5	P5.15	Removed superfluous quotes around text (RH – 23/11/21)	Grammar
<b>49</b>	FNP5	P5.16	Removed superfluous space (AD – 26/11/21)	Typo
<b>49</b>	FNP5	P5.18	Updated with new Thames Water wording (from “Response Table”)	Change
<b>50</b>	FNP5	P5.20	New paragraph with words Thames Water (from “Response Table”)	Added
<b>55</b>	FNP8	P8.2	Edited to add “Local Green Spaces” and remove para 10.3.1 reference (RH – 26/11/21)	Change
<b>55</b>	FNP8	P8.3	Updated NPPF references (RH – 26/11/21)	Change
<b>55</b>	FNP8	P8.5	Added “Very special circumstances ...” paragraph (SB/RH – 26/11/21)	Added
<b>57</b>	FNP8	P8.2	Changed to “the policies” (from “Response Table”)	Change

58	FNP9	P9.4	Removed “distinctive” from “as a distinctive Local Green Space (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
62	FNP11	P11.2 (b)	Added “within the site” at end of paragraph (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
64	FNP12	P12.1	Changed to explicit reference to Policy EN2 (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
64	FNP12	P12.2 (c)	Added “relevant”, change to “Design Code” (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
64/65	VARIOUS	VARIOUS	TODO: Fix “print glitch” from this point onwards	<b>TO-DO</b>
66	FNP13	P13.2	NDHA list moved to main policy block – style and colour updated (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
68	FNP13	P13.3	New policy paragraph (P13.3) – Incorporating beginning of old P13.6 “Non-householder development ...” (RH, MB – 23/11/21)	Change
69	FNP14	FNP14.2	Changed bullet list to letter list for ease of reference (RH, AD – 26/11/21)	Change
69	FNP14	FNP14.2	Added “... in the layout for the future provision of a ...” (RH/MB, AD – 23/11/21)	Change
71	FNP14	FNP14.10	Added new bullet points (x3) with additional text from the reasonable justifications document in relation to this site (JH, AD – 26/11/21)	Added
71	FNP14	FNP14.11	Updated last sentence to reflect timing of developments and plan (MB – 24/11/21)	Change
72	FNP14	P14.15	Added this new paragraph “SSSI IRZ / NE” (JH – 26/11/21)	Added
72	FNP14	P14.16	Added this new paragraph “charging points” (JH – 26/11/21)	Added
72	FNP14	P14.17	Added the new paragraph “... (SAC) ...” (JH – 26/11/21)	Added
77	FNP16	P16.3 (16.2)	Policy paragraph deleted (referred to Coln House school) (RH – 23/11/21)	Deleted
77	FNP16	P16.3 (16.4)	Changed “in the” to “covering the” (RH – 23/11/21)	Grammar
77	FNP16	P16.4 (16.5)	Removed reference to Coln House School (RH – 23/11/21)	Deleted
77	FNP16	P16.7	Policy paragraph deleted (referred to Coln House school) (RH – 23/11/21)	Deleted
94	VARIOUS	VARIOUS	TODO: Fix page headers and footers from this point onwards (AD – 20/11/21)	Formatting
80	FNP17	P17.2	Updated references to use classes (RH – 19/10/21)	Change
90	MAP C	MAP C	Latest Heritage Assets map applied (SB – 25/11/21)	Change
97	A3	3	New paragraph – building with nature (SB – 06/07/21)	Added

## Outstanding Internal (NDP Group) Comments

Mainly comments from most recent RH review of 1.0.7

P4.1. All sources of flood risk<sup>6</sup> must be considered at both the site selection and application stages, and the sequential test used to divert development to areas with lower probability of flooding, in accordance with NPPF guidance. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding.

rahprivate@gmail.com

This applies to the making of plans i.e. Local and Neighbourhood Plans – The NDP can't really impose this on the Local Plan and hopefully we have taken account of this.

P4.2. In addition to meeting national and strategic planning policy requirements, proposals for development on land identified by the Environment Agency as lying within either Flood Zone 2 or 3, or in

rahprivate@gmail.com

Need reference to a threshold here?

P4.6. This policy is designed to address these issues, to the extent that they are not adequately covered by CDLP policy EN14 and National policy and guidance (NPPF/PPG). The key points are:

- For all proposed developments (other than minor development<sup>7</sup>) in the affected area as shown in the WRA report, developers must provide a site specific FRA at the first (full or outline) application stage, backed by appropriate on-site investigation of ground conditions and infiltration tests.

rahprivate@gmail.com

For a definition related to flood risk see: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change#minor-development-to-flood-risk> - Is this what we mean?

P6.1. Proposals for a residential scheme of 10 or more homes or for a commercial scheme of more than 1000 sq.m. gross internal area must identify and quantify in their transport assessments the effects of traffic generated by the scheme on its own, and in combination with other consented and allocated schemes, on the Fairford Conservation Area

rahprivate@gmail.com

Is this feasible in relation to the cumulative effect in conjunction with other developments?

rahprivate@gmail.com

Formatted: Highlight

P12.7. FTC commits to developing a formal Fairford **Design Statement**; any relevant Fairford Design Code or Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan should take account of this policy.

**rahprivate@gmail.com**  
Should this now refer to Design Code?

P14.1. The Neighbourhood Plan proposes land between Leafield Road and Hatherop Road (north of John Tame Close and St. Mary's Drive, as shown on the Policies Map) for a low, **or zero,** carbon residential development.

**rahprivate@gmail.com**  
Does this anticipate changes to national policy/legislation?

P14.10. This site is proposed as an alternative to **land at Milton Farm and Betterton's Close (F 35B), sites F 44 Land to rear of Faulkner's Close, Horeott**, as in policy S5 of the CDLP, for the following reasons:

**rahprivate@gmail.com**  
Include deliverability of the site as a reason, as suggested by Gleasons?

**a. The F 35B is not being put forward by the landowner for development and is not considered to be deliverable in the lifetime of the CDLP;**

**a.b.** Proximity to the schools and relatively easy and safe access to these, the town centre and community facilities, relative to sites to the south of the A417;

P14.11. The utilities works are not currently committed but awaiting decisions by Thames Water. This means that the scheme is unlikely to contribute to meeting the District's five-year supply of housing until later in the plan period. **As it is, given the town is at the end of a significant new building programme of around 450 homes, the scheme is not necessary to meet local needs within the next five years.**

**rahprivate@gmail.com**  
Delete as suggested by Gleasons?

**P16.2.** Insofar as planning permission is required proposals to intensify the existing business uses on the Whelford Lane Industrial Estate, as shown on the Policies Map, will be supported, provided they use **the existing access to the A417.**

**rahprivate@gmail.com**  
Surely this is via Whelford Lane, unless this policy was supposed to be referring to the London Road Industrial Estate???

## **A3. APPENDIX 3: FNP<sub>12</sub> ACHIEVING HIGH STANDARDS OF DESIGN**

**rahprivate@gmail.com**

Please could these paragraphs be numbered, for ease of reference!