

SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F 35B MILTON FARM	(47)	Access problem, impact on landscape and PROW, impact on Conservation area. <i>Acceptable</i>	Could be suitable for development if concerns regarding access, agricultural land, and impact on landscape, settlement character and heritage are addressed. <i>Potential</i>	Access problem, impact on landscape and PROW, impact on Conservation area, impact on Special landscape area. Distant from centre. <i>Low potential</i>
WOULD YOU SUPPORT HOUSING AT THIS SITE?				
YES 12		NO 33		MAYBE 10
SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F44 Horcott Lake	12 (up to 28)	Access may be difficult to achieve, impact on landscape, PROWs and Horcott lake. High groundwater flood risk. <i>Acceptable</i>	Serious ground water flooding, issues include access, heritage and landscape. <i>Potential</i>	Access, heritage and landscape issues. Flood risk too high <i>Not acceptable</i> Could be nature reserve
WOULD YOU SUPPORT HOUSING AT THIS SITE?				
YES 2		NO 36		MAYBE 1
SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F51B +51C Leafield Road	80 (up to 343)	Some flood risk, harmful impact on countryside and Special landscape area. <i>Not acceptable</i>	The southern half of the site is potentially suitable with no Significant constraints. <i>Potential</i>	Impact on countryside and Special landscape area Could be mitigated. <i>Potential.</i> Could provide School parking and relief road
WOULD YOU SUPPORT HOUSING AT THIS SITE?				
YES 40		NO 15		MAYBE 9

SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F 15 Jones Field	About 20 (up to 50)	Conservation area, limited access, impact on landscape and PROW, (Morgan Hall) <i>Acceptable.</i>	The site could be a suitable development location if the issues relating to access, heritage, and loss of agricultural land are resolved. <i>Potential</i>	Could be suitable if access and heritage issues mitigated. <i>Potential.</i> Could provide Parking area and allotments
WOULD YOU SUPPORT HOUSING AT THIS SITE?				
YES 8		NO 31		MAYBE 12
SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F 38 E of Beaumont	12	Conservation area, Query on access, impact on landscape and PROW, groundwater flood risk. <i>Not currently acceptable.</i>	The site could be suitable if numerous issues resolved; mainly access, heritage, amenity, ground water flood risk, and loss of agricultural land. <i>Potential</i>	Could be suitable If all issues resolved. <i>Potential.</i> Could provide relief parking for surgery
WOULD YOU SUPPORT HOUSING AT THIS SITE?				
YES 2		NO 37		MAYBE 3
SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F 39C S of London Road	32	Access problem, landscape impact, groundwater flood risk. <i>Acceptable</i>	The site could be suitable if the access and ground and surface water flooding issues are resolved. <i>Potential</i>	Access could be via Industrial site. Flood risks may be unacceptable. <i>Low potential.</i> Could be employment site
WOULD YOU SUPPORT HOUSING AT THIS SITE?				
YES 5		NO 23		MAYBE 10

SITE	SUGGESTED HOUSING NO.	SHELAA ASSESSMENT	AECOM ASSESSMENT	NDP ASSESSMENT
F 52 W of Terminus Cottage	17-30 (up to 65)	Difficult access, distant from centre, landscape impact, groundwater flood risk. <i>Acceptable</i>	The site could be suitable if the access and ground and surface water flooding issues are resolved. <i>Potential</i>	Difficult access, distant from centre, landscape impact. Flood risks may be unacceptable. <i>Low potential</i>
YES 0		NO 27		MAYBE 7